



**CONFIRMATION  
COPY**

B

**JANSSON, SHUPE, BRIDGE & MUNGER, LTD.**

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

MAIN PLACE - 245 MAIN STREET

**RACINE, WISCONSIN 53403**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW AND LITIGATION,  
AND RELATED BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT,  
INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND  
TECHNOLOGY MATTERS  
PATENTS - TRADEMARKS - COPYRIGHTS

TELEPHONE: 414/632-6900

TELEFAX: 414/632-2257

EMAIL: janlaw@execpc.com

PETER N. JANSSON\*  
ELISABETH T. BRIDGE  
JOHN E. MUNGER\*  
DAVID A. FREY\*  
PETER C. STOMMA  
GAY ANN SPAHN\*\*

LARRY L. SHUPE - OF COUNSEL

\*ALSO ADMITTED IN ILLINOIS

\*\*ALSO ADMITTED IN MARYLAND AND DC

June 17, 1999

**RECEIVED**

JUN 28 1999

**Publishing Division  
02**

**VIA FACSIMILE  
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL**

Mr. Ken Juncker  
Dr. Glen Kalhe  
AgTracks, Inc.  
204A Main Street  
Mt. Vernon, Indiana 47620

**RE: U.S. Pat. Appln. Serial No. 08/900,561  
FOR: TRACK SYSTEM FOR VEHICLES  
By: Gary L. Kelderman  
Filed: July 25, 1997  
Assignee: AgTracks, Inc.  
Our Ref. No.: AG-101RE**

Dear Ken and Glen:

I am enclosing claims 17-26 as added during reissue (two pages) and claims 21-35 which are the allow claims (5 pages).

Please note that claim 17, which was added during reissue, is an independent claim. The United States Patent and Trademark Office felt claim 17 by itself was not patentable, but would be patentable if combined with the subject matter recited in dependent claims 20, 21, and 24. Therefore, claim 17 corresponds to claim 27 (i.e., claim 17 and 20 combined), claim 28 (i.e., claims 17 and 21 combined), and claim 31 (i.e., claim 17 and 24 combined) and the differences shown to claims 27, 28, and 31 are the differences from claim 17.

Claims 29, 30, and 32-35 are all the same dependent claims as were added during reissue, but having differing dependencies.